



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for
Approval of the Retirement of Diablo Canyon Power
Plant, Implementation of the Joint Proposal, And
Recovery of Associated Costs Through Proposed
Ratemaking Mechanisms

11-07-16
A.16-08-006 04:59 PM
(Filed August 11, 2016)

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO CLAIM INTERVENOR COMPENSATION
AND, IF REQUESTED (and []¹ checked), ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S
RULING ON FRIENDS OF WILD CHERRY CANYON'S SHOWING OF
SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP**

NOTE: After electronically filing a PDF copy of this Notice of Intent (NOI), please email the document in an MS WORD format to the Intervenor Compensation Program Coordinator at lcompcoordinator@cpuc.ca.gov.

Customer (party intending to claim intervenor compensation): Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon	
Assigned Commissioner: Michael Picker	Administrative Law Judge: Peter Allen
I hereby certify that the information I have set forth in Parts I, II, III and IV of this Notice of Intent (NOI) is true to my best knowledge, information and belief.	
Signature:	-----/S/-----
Date: November 7, 2016	Printed Name: Kara Woodruff, Founder, Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES
(To be completed by the party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation)

A. Status as "customer" (see Pub. Util. Code § 1802(b)): The party claims "customer" status because the party is (check one):	Applies (check)
1. A Category 1 customer is an actual customer whose self-interest in the proceeding arises primarily from his/her role as a customer of the utility and, at the same time, the customer must represent the broader interests of at least some other customers.	<input type="checkbox"/>
In addition to describing your own interest in the proceeding you must show how your participation goes beyond just your own self-interest and will benefit other customers.	

¹ DO NOT CHECK THIS BOX if a finding of significant financial hardship is not needed (in cases where there is a valid rebuttable presumption of eligibility (Part III(A)(3)) or significant financial hardship showing has been deferred to the intervenor compensation claim).

<p>2. A Category 2 customer is a representative who has been authorized by actual customers to represent them. Category 2 involves a more formal arrangement where a customer or a group of customers selects a more skilled person to represent the customer's views in a proceeding. A customer or group of customers may also form or authorize a group to represent them, and the group, in turn, may authorize a representative such as an attorney to represent the group.</p> <p>A representative authorized by a customer must identify the residential customer(s) being represented and provide authorization from at least one customer. <i>See</i> D.98-04-059 at 30.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>3. A Category 3 customer is a formally organized group authorized, by its articles of incorporation or bylaws to represent the interests of residential customers or small commercial customers receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation.² Certain environmental groups that represent residential customers with concerns for the environment may also qualify as Category 3 customers, even if the above requirement is not specifically met in the articles or bylaws. <i>See</i> D.98-04-059, footnote at 3.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<p>The party's explanation of its customer status must include the percentage of the intervenors members who are residential ratepayers or the percentage of the intervenors members who are customers receiving bundled electric service from an electrical corporation, and must include supporting documentation: (i.e., articles of incorporation or bylaws).</p> <p>Friends of Wild Cherry Canyon (FWCC) is an <i>ad hoc</i> association of San Luis Obispo County residents and visitors dedicated to the preservation of PG&E's Wild Cherry Canyon property and other Diablo Canyon Lands. (Please see FWCC's Facebook page for more information about the group: https://www.facebook.com/friendsofwildcherrycanyon). FWCC estimates that at least 75% of its members are residential ratepayers that take bundled electric service from PG&E.</p>	
<p>Identify all attached documents in Part IV.</p>	
<p>Do you have any direct economic interest in outcomes of the proceeding?³</p> <p>Yes: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No: <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>If "Yes," explain: Kara Woodruff and other members of FWCC are residential customers of PG&E and, in that capacity, they have a direct economic interest in the outcomes of this</p>	

² Intervenors representing either a group of residential customers or small commercial customers who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation, must indicate in Part I, Section A, Item #4 of this form, the percentage of their members who are residential customers or the percentage of their members who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation. The NOI may be rejected if this information is omitted.

³ See Rule 17.1(e).

proceeding. However, FWCC does not stand to benefit economically from the outcomes of this proceeding, and Ms. Woodruff does not receive any financial compensation for her FWCC leadership and advocacy activities.

B. Conflict of Interest (§ 1802.3)	Check
1. Is the customer a representative of a group representing the interests of small commercial customers who receive bundled electric service from an electrical corporation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
2. If the answer to the above question is “Yes,” does the customer have a conflict arising from prior representation before the Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

C. Timely Filing of Notice of Intent (NOI) (§ 1804(a)(1)):	Check
1. Is the party’s NOI filed within 30 days after a Prehearing Conference? Date of Prehearing Conference: 10/6/2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
2. Is the party’s NOI filed at another time (for example, because no Prehearing Conference was held, the proceeding will take less than 30 days, the schedule did not reasonably allow parties to identify issues within the timeframe normally permitted, or new issues have emerged)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
2a. The party’s description of the reasons for filing its NOI at this other time:	
2b. The party’s information on the proceeding number, date, and decision number for any Commission decision, Commissioner ruling, Administrative Law Judge’s ruling, or other document authorizing the filing of NOI at that other time:	

PART II: SCOPE OF ANTICIPATED PARTICIPATION
(To be completed by the party (“customer”) intending to claim intervenor compensation)

<p>A. Planned Participation (§ 1804(a)(2)(A)(i)):</p> <p>The party’s statement of the issues on which it plans to participate: The preservation of Wild Cherry Canyon and other Diablo Canyon Lands.</p> <p>The party’s explanation of how it plans to avoid duplication of effort with other parties: FWCC will liaison with the local governments, environmental groups, and other customer or community groups that are parties to the proceeding and have stated an interest in the outcomes of the issues on which FWCC plans to engage, so as to gain a better understanding of which parties are focusing on what issues, to reach consensus on the “division of labor” among the parties and, to the extent possible, avoid duplication of effort.</p> <p>The party’s description of the nature and extent of the party’s planned participation in this proceeding (to the extent that it is possible to describe on the date this NOI is filed): FWCC, with the assistance of regulatory counsel, plans to prepare and submit written testimony, participate in any settlement negotiations, prepare and file post-hearing briefs, and prepare and file comments</p>
--

on the proposed decision.

B. The party's itemized estimate of the compensation that the party expects to request, based on the anticipated duration of the proceeding (§ 1804(a)(2)(A)(ii)):				
Item	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$	#
ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES				
Regulatory counsel	75	500	37,500	
			Subtotal: \$37,500	
OTHER FEES				
Paralegal	10	125	1,250	
			Subtotal: \$1,250	
COSTS				
Travel			7,500	
Overnight mail charges			150	
Photocopying			50	
			Subtotal: \$7,700	
			TOTAL ESTIMATE: \$46,450	
Estimated Budget by Issues: Preservation of Diablo Canyon Lands – 100%				
<p><i>When entering items, type over bracketed text; add additional rows to table as necessary. Estimate may (but does not need to) include estimated Claim preparation time. Claim preparation time is typically compensated at ½ professional hourly rate.</i></p>				

PART III: SHOWING OF SIGNIFICANT FINANCIAL HARDSHIP
(To be completed by party (“customer”) intending to claim intervenor compensation; see Instructions for options for providing this information)

A. The party claims “significant financial hardship” for its Intervenor Compensation Claim in this proceeding on the following basis:	Applies (check)
1. “[T]he customer cannot afford, without undue hardship, to pay the costs of effective participation, including advocate’s fees, expert witness fees, and other reasonable costs of participation” (§ 1802(g)); or	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. “[I]n the case of a group or organization, the economic interest of the Individual members of the group or organization is small in comparison to the costs of effective participation in the proceeding” (§ 1802(g)).	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. A § 1802(g) finding of significant financial hardship in another proceeding, made within one year prior to the commencement of this proceeding, created a rebuttable presumption in this proceeding (§ 1804(b)(1)).	<input type="checkbox"/>
Commission’s finding of significant financial hardship made in proceeding number:	

Date of Administrative Law Judge's Ruling (or CPUC Decision) in which the finding of significant financial hardship was made:	
---	--

B. The party's explanation of the factual basis for its claim of "significant financial hardship" (§ 1802(g)) (necessary documentation, if warranted, is attached to the NOI:

**PART IV: ATTACHMENTS DOCUMENTING SPECIFIC
ASSERTIONS MADE IN THIS NOTICE**
(The party ("customer") intending to claim intervenor compensation identifies and attaches documents; add rows as necessary)

Attachment No.	Description
1	Certificate of Service

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RULING⁴
(Administrative Law Judge completes)

	Check all that apply
1. The Notice of Intent (NOI) is rejected for the following reasons:	<input type="checkbox"/>
a. The NOI has not demonstrated the party's status as a "customer" for the following reason(s):	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. The NOI has not demonstrated that the NOI was timely filed (Part I(B)) for the following reason(s):	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. The NOI has not adequately described the scope of anticipated participation (Part II, above) for the following reason(s):	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. The NOI has demonstrated significant financial hardship for the reasons set forth in Part III of the NOI (above).	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. The NOI has not demonstrated significant financial hardship for the following reason(s):	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. The Administrative Law Judge provides the following additional	<input type="checkbox"/>

⁴ A Ruling needs not be issued unless: (a) the NOI is deficient; (b) the Administrative Law Judge desires to address specific issues raised by the NOI (to point out similar positions, areas of potential duplication in showings, unrealistic expectations for compensation, or other matters that may affect the customer's Intervenor Compensation Claim); or (c) the NOI has included a claim of "significant financial hardship" that requires a finding under § 1802(g).

guidance (see § 1804(b)(2)):	
-------------------------------------	--

IT IS RULED that:

1. The Notice of Intent is rejected.	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. The customer has satisfied the eligibility requirements of Pub. Util. Code § 1804(a).	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. The customer has shown significant financial hardship.	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. The customer is preliminarily determined to be eligible for intervenor compensation in this proceeding. However, a finding of significant financial hardship in no way ensures compensation.	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Additional guidance is provided to the customer as set forth above.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

Administrative Law Judge